

# BLUE GRASS BLADE.

THE WORLD IS MY COUNTRY; TO DO GOOD IS MY RELIGION—TOM PAIN.  
DO UNTO OTHERS AS YOU WOULD HAVE THEM DO UNTO YOU—CONFUCIUS.

EDITED BY A HEATHEN IN THE INTEREST OF GOOD MORALS.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY; \$1.00 A YEAR IN ADVANCE

VOL. VIII. NO. 44

LEXINGTON, KY., SUNDAY, DECEMBER 10, E. M. 299.

\$1.00 A YEAR



## A BETTER FUTURE.

BY AN EX-MINISTER.

EDITOR BLUE GRASS BLADE:

If you wish this nation to be made better, then please read and work against the danger of overpopulating it, which has caused Europe and China to work for nearly starving wages.

Work against marriages of bad characters, bad health, drunkards, unsound minds, and the extremely poor.

Ignorance has no moral right to people this world with criminals, scoundrels and consumption.

The raising of good, sound and intelligent children we owe to humanity, justice and future generations. There should be stringent laws for the betterment of the present and future generations.

Any person sustaining, or working for any law that is for the interest of the minority, and detrimental to the majority must be a superstitious bigot, ignorant and naturally mean.

We Liberals are in the minority and powerless to pass any law. The Bible teaches to marry and "replenish the earth." Only proper persons should be allowed to marry. Which is the better, never to have been born or to have been born, and, at the age of 90, have the man to say that the misery has been greater than the joy of life?

If you say it is the man's own fault, that he could have lived a different life, and could have had more happiness than misery, then why can't you make yourself President of the United States; why do you get sick; why don't you have all the money you want?

Instead of our being free agents we are merely tools; creatures of chance, governed by outer and inner circumstances and abilities.

If the Bible be true, as they say it is, then the misery of the majority, hereafter, will be far worse than in present life; for from the Bible teaching we may be assured that most of the people will go to an eternal hell. You say it is their fault; that if they believe the Bible and do right they will go to heaven. But will your answer lessen their sufferings in hell?

When Christians ask us to believe their Bible, the main evidence that they offer us is, that its supposed authors said they were prophets, or inspired, and that their book is the word of God. If this be true reasoning them why not also believe the Koran of the Turks and the Vedas of the Hindoos? Those books are also claimed to be inspired and the believers in them call the believers in the Bible, heathen.

The Hindoo inspired books also have a crucified Savior who arose from the dead 3100 years ago, to save the world.

The Christians next strongest argument is that the civilization of the Christian nations is due to the Bible.

If this be true how do you account for the civilization that China and Japan have?

Neither of those nations believe in Jesus, or our Bible, except a very few who, from various reasons have been persuaded by the Christian missionaries. How do you explain that their civilization is so far ahead of our Indians, who for generations, have had the Christian religion preached to them?

The Chinese and Japanese have laws to punish crime; they have hospitals and asylums, and take care of their poor as best they can.

Col. Hall, an English officer, says, after living one year in Japan, "For honesty, charity and politeness the Japanese excel the Christians." Capt. Cardonet, of 191 Marc Ave, Brooklyn, N. Y., a Christian, says that he has found the Mohammedans more honest and temperate than the Christians. There was a time when Egypt was the first nation in the world. Could not an Egyptian then have said Egypt is the most intelligent, most civilized and richest of all the nations and was made so by its religion? Would that have proved that its religion was of more than human origin?

Christians say that disbelief of the Bible means the downfall of man. We have all known naturally good men who were Christians and turned to be Liberals and remained just as good citizens, and we have known bad Liberals who became Christians and remained just as bad.

Principle is independent and is not made by belief in future punishment.

Christians are as badly mistaken as they are honest in testifying to their holiness, changed hearts and sanctification. If they could just

get over their nightmare long enough to reason and investigate right they would soon see their mistake. They are prone to judge all men by their Pauline maxim that "the wisdom of this world is foolishness with God." To this we reply that the wisdom of the religionist is foolishness with the scientific investigator.

For years I was Christian, experienced holiness and felt save by the same imagination that deceives thousands to say they are saved. When I saw the Bible could no more be proven inspired than any other book, I left the church and told my brethren why I did so.

I will defend what I here write in any newspaper, against any one who believes that the Bible can stand public debate.

A Brooklyn student asks "How could we have known right from wrong had it not been for the Bible?" When people had so evolved that they could reason that two hands had more fingers than one; that it was shorter to cross a stream than to go up it and around its head; that mutilation of the body caused pain; that it was unpleasant to have property stolen, then right and wrong were realized, and these things were matters of experience long before any of our Bible was written; before our ancestors had invented the alphabet.

Christians ask: "If you can believe in Washington and in the Czar, why can't you believe in Christ?" We believe it highly probable that there was a man named Jesus, more or less like the one mentioned in the New Testament, but we are unable to believe that he arose from the dead.

If the histories of Washington and the Czar told us that they arose from the dead, walked on the water, or made the earth shake we would be unable to believe such parts of their history.

Christians say the Bible must be inspired because prophecies have been fulfilled. If there is anything in this then why are not the millions today inspired who prophecy things that come to pass? I can remember that famine, wars, and rumors of war have taken place in the past and that there had been prophecy that these should take place, and yet the fulfillment of such prophecies was no evidence that the prophets who predicted them were inspired. And no stronger fulfillment of prophecy than these can be proven by the Bible.

Christians say that the coming of Christ was foretold. There is nothing strange about that; fiction is easily dated back, and dreams are unreliable.

If the Bible was written by inspired men who could foretell things, as the clergy would have us believe, why did they not make their prophecies clearer and more perfect than uninspired people could hardly guess?

If they had prophesied that a cyclone should strike St. Louis in May 1896, and that in 1898 the United States would go to war with the Kingdom of Spain, and had so arranged that one similar prophecy would be fulfilled once in every fifty years, it would have had more weight with thinking people than the repetition of the stories about Samson, Babel, the Ark, the serpents of the Old and New Testaments, and about the resurrections of Lazarus and of Jesus.

Many Christians will not investigate; they think it is a sin to do so. No religion is worth anything if it will not stand investigation. Any religion that will permit the worst of murderers to enter heaven with thinking people than the repetition of the stories about Samson, Babel, the Ark, the serpents of the Old and New Testaments, and about the resurrections of Lazarus and of Jesus.

Many Christians will not investigate; they think it is a sin to do so. No religion is worth anything if it will not stand investigation. Any religion that will permit the worst of murderers to enter heaven with thinking people than the repetition of the stories about Samson, Babel, the Ark, the serpents of the Old and New Testaments, and about the resurrections of Lazarus and of Jesus.

It further prove that morality and religion are not synonymous, and that there are bad Christians as well as good. I will quote the report of the Chaplain of the Ohio Penitentiary.

Males registered at his office for the year 1879 were 601.

Parental church relations were as follows: Catholics 133; Methodists 172; Presbyterians 69; Baptists 66; Congregationalists 11; Episcopalians 33; Lutherans 25; Christians or Campbellites 17; United Brethren 14; other denominations 26; those professing no religious belief 44.

From these figures one can easily ascertain whether Liberals or Christians furnish the greater number of convicts.

A few years ago, J. B. Wise, a one-armed school teacher, of Clay Center, Kansas, was convicted by the United States Court for sending through the mail, Isaiah, 36-12, and Chas. C. Moore, an ex-Christian preacher, of Lexington, Ky., who learned that the Bible was no more inspired than any other book, and then published a FreeThought, Prohibition paper, was in 1899, convicted

to go to the bottom would they call it "glad tidings of great joy?" According to the Bible nearly all will go to an eternal hell that is far worse than drowning.

Christians say that all the books believed to be the word of God by the heathen nations are human inventions and false. Our book, called the Bible can, in the same way, be shown to be a human invention.

They say to us: "What will you give us that is better than the Bible?"

We would give them a higher morality without a useless Christianity. It cannot truthfully be said that the man who attacks Buddhism attacks all morality. He does not attack goodness, justice, mercy, or anything that tends in his judgment to the welfare of mankind, but he merely attacks Buddhism.

So one, in attacking Christianity does not attack kindness, charity, or any virtue, but he attacks something that has been added to these. He does not attack the fruit or the flower, but the parasite.

Truth is better than falsehood. We would give you the religion of reason and humanity instead of that of the Bible that tells you that most of the people will go to hell. The fear of that awful punishment has caused many good people to go to insane asylums.

We would lessen the dangers of religious wars and stop the expense of keeping up 113,000 preachers and 40,000 priests in the United States alone. We would give you just and impartial laws and repeat the religious and partial laws, which are many, and which furnish big pay for preachers, for penitentiaries, soldiers and law makers.

We do not want the Bible forced upon the minds of our young in the public schools. One of these partial religious laws in some of our states, is that no Liberal can hold any public office, nor will his oath be taken, no matter how honest and upright he is, while, in these same states, bad Christians can fill these offices.

But Christians say that there are no bad Christians; that all bad people belong to the Infidel rank.

Christians claim credit for all the good done by Liberals while they charge all of their crimes to Liberals. They generally say that the morality taught by them is higher than that taught by others, and yet when they read our books and attend our Liberal Sunday Schools, they learn that there can be no better morals than those taught by Liberals.

Liberals have never advocated partial laws such as have been passed by Christians. But good Christians are no more guilty of passing partial laws than good Liberals, though these unjust laws, as a general thing, have been passed through ignorance; and this is why I call your attention to the fact that there are superstitious and bad, as well as good, Christians.

The Bible says that "There is none good; neither indeed can be;" and we often hear the best of Christians pray in public, for the forgiveness of their sins. Are not these confessions evidence that Christians are a little bad?

The percentage of morality varies in Christians. Some may have 99 per cent, others 95, 90, 70, 40, 20, 10, 0. All who believe in the inspiration of the Bible and the resurrection of Jesus are Christians. Thus H. H. Holmes, Rev. G. E. Morrison and Charles Guiteau were Christians. If these scoundrels had professed Liberalism, we would be fair enough to own them as bad Liberals, but they all professed Christ and we will be fair enough to call them Christians.

To further prove that morality and religion are not synonymous, and that there are bad Christians as well as good, I will quote the report of the Chaplain of the Ohio Penitentiary.

Many Christians will not investigate; they think it is a sin to do so. No religion is worth anything if it will not stand investigation. Any religion that will permit the worst of murderers to enter heaven with thinking people than the repetition of the stories about Samson, Babel, the Ark, the serpents of the Old and New Testaments, and about the resurrections of Lazarus and of Jesus.

It further prove that morality and religion are not synonymous, and that there are bad Christians as well as good. I will quote the report of the Chaplain of the Ohio Penitentiary.

The last three can be had by addressing The Independent Pulpit, Waco, Texas.

The five leading Liberal papers are, The Torch of Reason, Silverton, Oregon; Independent Pulpit, Waco, Texas; FreeThought Ideal, Ottawa, Kansas; Truth Seeker, 28 La Fayette Place, New York City; The Investigator, Boston, Mass.

All fair minded seekers of information should read these books and papers, for they read equally well on each side of the subjects that they discuss, and are far more liable to give accurate information than those written in the interest of only one side.

Christian millionaires, one dollar spent for reform is better than hundreds spent in other directions.

Remove the clouds of ignorance and religion from the minds of the poor and middle classes of the peo-

ple, and partial laws will cease, and you will no longer hear the cry of "hard times;" for as a rule, hard times and crime are the productions of ignorance, and the darkest of all ignorance is superstitious religious belief.

Thousands of millions have been paid in the last 1500 years, by honest, ignorant people to keep up religion—for more money than the entire world has today.

Thousands of millions have been taken from the people to pay interest on war debts, and to manufacture weapons by which one Christian nation wants to vanquish another.

Do you call this civilization? Would it not be better that your name should be read by future generations as a light giver, or teacher of morality higher than the world now possesses, than to say you were worth \$3,000,000, and gave \$50,000 to the church?

Christians pray for the sick to recover; in times of drought they pray for rain to fall, and if these happen they will say that their prayers have been answered. But these things are ruled by the laws of nature and would have happened just the same if they had not been prayed for.

If prayers are answered in forgiving sins, or in other ways, then why not pray for rain to fall in the sun-shine, when no clouds are visible? Then it would win for you believers in prayer, the world over.

But the light of reason has dawned, and people seek tangible evidence, and they cannot see that prayers are answered.

The supreme power we can neither help nor hurt by our prayers or curses.

Some years ago thousands prayed for the recovery of President Garfield. He died. Then Christians said that God saw fit to take him away. If God sees fit to do as he pleases then why pray for anything? Prayer is principally directing and requesting. They request the wisest being to do things they want him to do.

Do you really believe that we can change his mind by prayer?

When Christians are cornered on this point they fall back on the Lazarus story. But, Christians, before you can prove anything by the Bible you must prove that it's authentic. You must prove, beyond the possibility of a doubt, that it could not have been changed since first written, and you must remember that printing was not invented until 1438.

You must prove beyond the possibility of a doubt that Paul, Peter, Mark and all of the supposed authors of the Bible were actually inspired. The saying that they were inspired—if indeed they ever said so—is not proving that they were inspired. If they were inspired they could only know it themselves, and you can only have their word for it in writing or print.

If it is possible that thousands of American citizens were bamboozled by Jo Smith, to believe that the book of Mormon was inspired, while in it you read accounts of miracles having been performed, can you not understand that it was far more possible to bamboozle people with religion 1399 years ago, when the masses were more ignorant than now?

If you want the present superstition continued how do you expect the race to be bettered by teaching a falsehood? Would it not be better to teach that the wrong doing of each person will follow him until he has paid, in this life, the penalty of his crime?

The doctrine of the forgiveness of sin has been pernicious in its effects upon society; and society has been partially demoralized by this doctrine that the vilest sinner may, at any time, obtain complete forgiveness for all crimes committed. The principles of moral science teach us that this is impossible; hence it will be seen that no sin can be forgiven but must work out its own legitimate consequences.

Christians ask us "If the Infidel doctrine is right why does it not grow more rapidly?"

When you are forcing your Bible into the public schools, according to the Michigan Supreme court decision, and according to Judge Edwards' decision in Waverly, Pennsylvania, and forcing children into Sunday Schools, refusing to hear our evidence in your churches, punishing honest men for sending through the mails what Christians are allowed to send, allowing churches to go un-taxed, voting for dishonest believers rather than for honest Liberals, having hundreds of millions of dollars at your command, paying over \$100,000 yearly out of public treasures (is not this an underestimate?)—Editor) to chaplains, for which this Government taxes the unbeliever, is it any

wonder that Liberalism does not grow more rapidly?

Christians, if you want to play fair why don't you have your children to read equal amounts on both sides of the question of religion?

Why do you stir up strife because we point out your wrongs, legal laws and injustice?

Christians ask us "How could the Christian religion have grown so, if it be false?"

Because, when it was started, the people were very ignorant compared with what they are now, and the more ignorant a people are the more rapidly a superstition will grow among them. If other religions, which you say are false, have grown so, is that not evidence that the Christian could have grown and be false also?

Christian nations are estimated to number 485,000,000 including Liberals, non-Church members, Protestants and Catholics. The Buddhist religion is estimated to be 500,000,000 strong. The population of the whole earth is 1,560,000,000. The death rate per day is 97,000 and the birth rate per day is 100,000.

Honest ignorance says the Bible is the oldest history in the world, and that the world was made about six thousand years ago, when that India and China were inhabited over seven thousand years ago by human beings has been proven by histories older than the Bible.

(The Christian University of Pennsylvania excavated Nippur, in Assyria, and said it was a city with an enlightened population ten thousand years ago, and the Academy of Science in France excavated Karnak, and said it was an enlightened city thirteen thousand years ago—Editor)

The Chinese are taught by their religion that an all wise and ever-living being created all things, but their starting point widely differs from our Adam and Eve story.

Christians claim that their paid missionaries are doing much good in China, but how does the story tally with the fact that scarcely any conversions are made among the thousands of Chinese who live in California, and who daily witness our religion and our civilization.

The Chinese religion is more superstitious than ours, but that does not prove it less inspired. Instead of offering them one superstition for another, we should send the teachers of science, philosophy and a grander morality than the majority of this or their country possess.

China and Christian Russia are two of the most tyrannical of nations. All intelligent persons are familiar with the intense ignorance and suffering that prevail in those two countries. If a Liberal exists in either of those countries, we never hear from him. All Liberals are Infidels, but all Infidels are not Liberals.

It stands for an intellectual conviction while Liberalism goes step further and includes sentiment, feeling and disposition.

Liberalism is not libertinism which is a vicious disregard of morality and is dissoluteness, licentiousness and debauchery. It is no uncommon thing to find people unjustly misrepresenting Liberalism. They are people who never examine into things themselves but leave all such matters to the clergy. Gentlemen of the clerical profession are none too accurate and trustworthy in their knowledge of any system other than the one they are employed to advocate, and where a competing one comes in conflict with theirs they act to look upon it with a prej udice that leads them to make loose and incorrect statements regarding it. Many of these gentlemen would not deliberately misrepresent things perhaps, but they are so very confident that all the good and the true touching morality is contained within the narrow confines of their creed that they feel justified in branding as vicious and immoral all that is outside of it.

They know nothing of Liberalism except that it is not in harmony with their theology, and therefore they ignorantly denounce it as a system of libertinism.

There are others though who, we regret to believe, coolly and deliberately, misrepresent the truth for the sake of weakening it in the estimation of their hearers.

If Liberalism were a synonym for libertinism we would see it in the lives of Liberals. If it could be shown that they are dissolute, licentious and immoral as a class, there would be some ground for this charge; but every honest observing person knows that they are as correct in their morals as trustworthy in business, as intelligent, charitable and patriotic as any other class, not excepting Christians or even Christian ministers.

It is not our purpose to retaliate upon those who slander Liberalism even by our telling the truth—that is the whole truth about Christians—but we believe that in any given number of Liberals, selected from any community intelligent enough to have Liberals in it, there will be found a greater degree of intelligence, refinement and morality than can be found in any number of Christians selected from the same community.

Liberals are, as a rule, honest sober, chaste, affable and kind. They pay their debts, pay their taxes and fulfill their contracts with uniform promptness. The exceptions to this rule, to be found among them, are fewer than those known to exist among church members.

It is the duty of every one who professes to love the truth to do his

best to impart a knowledge of it to his fellow creatures.

Self-sacrifice in the cause of human progress is a virtue that has adorned the characters of all reformers to whom we are indebted for the advancement already achieved. The workers in the past have done much for us, and is now our turn to do something for those who will take our places. The religion produced by the Christian Bible has caused one of the longest and cruellest wars of which history gives any account.

It has blighted humanity, polluted the imagination of childhood, and furrowed the cheeks of the best and tenderest with tears.

For thirty years Catholics and Protestants killed each other in Europe, like wild beasts. Some Christians say that the Bible was not the cause of that awful war, but we are unable to think of any other subject, or book, that did cause it.

To say that the majority of Catholics and Protestants were insincere when fighting over their different beliefs in the Bible, would be as wrong as to say that the majority of them are wrong today. But sincerity is no evidence of correctness in any religion.

If you had been raised in Persia where they teach the religion of their claimed inspired book, Saadah, which was believed by their ancestors and would be believed by all the people around you, it does not occur to you that you too would believe in their religion and speak of believers in all other religions as being heathen?

You would then think that believers in all other religions than the Persian had been misled by false doctrines, as you now do of theirs.

In addition to those slain in war, over different beliefs in the Bible, thousands of good people have been slowly roasted at the stake. Many have been brutally tortured to death because the Bible says "Thou shalt not suffer a witch to live," (Ex. 22:18) and that men and women who have "familiar spirits" shall be beaten to death with stones.

Christians felt it their godly duty to make that law, founded upon the Bible, by which they put to death many thousands of innocent people on the charge of witchcraft, and I do believe that those laws would be in force today, had it not been for the heroic liberals, who first denounced the Bible as being a human invention, and as untrue. They especially attacked the absurdities that caused so much suffering.

The more reasonable class of Christians saw justice in the work of Liberals, and finally those witchcraft laws were annulled, but not until many heroic Liberals had met sadder fates than those of C. O. Moore and J. B. Wise. The last person put to death in our Republic for the offense of witchcraft was at Salem, Massachusetts, less than a century ago.

A intelligent Christian believes there ever was such a thing as a witch.

So far man has civilized our Christian religion, but it still stands in need of further civilizing.

I will call your attention to a few of the absurdities and contradictions in the Bible. If your clergymen says they are not contradictions ask him what a contradiction is.

The following quotations are as much a part of the Bible and as much inspired as any of it.

"God shall send them strong do

fusion that they might believe a lie."

"—2. Thess. II. 11.

"Now therefore the Lord hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of all these, thy prophets."—1. Kings xxii. 23.

"And he turned back and looked on them, and cursed them in the name of the Lord. And there came forth two she bears out of the wood and tore forty and two children of them."—II. Kings ii. 24.

"Manasseh used enchantments and used witchcraft, and dealt with a familiar spirit and with wizards."—2. Chron. 33. 6.

For witchcraft see Isaiah 8. 19. 2.

2. Kings 21. 6, and 1. Sam. 23.

"This woman said unto me, Give thy son that we may eat him today, and we will eat my son tomorrow. So we boiled my son and did eat him."—2 Kings 6. 28-29.

"Ye shall not eat of anything that dieth of itself; thou shalt give it unto the stranger that is in thy gates; he may eat it, or thou mayest sell it."—Deut. 14. 21.

"If any man come unto me and hate not his father and mother, and wife and children, and his own life also he cannot be my disciple."—Luke 14. 26.

"And if they will learn anything let them ask their husbands at home; for it is a shame for a woman to speak in church"—1. Cor. 14. 35.

The Bible teaches and sanctions human slavery. See Lev. 25. 44, 46. The Bible teaches future rewards and contradicts the same. See Eze. 9. 5 and 3. 18-23.

"For God is not the author of confusion but of peace."—1. Cor. 14. 33.

"The Lord is a man of war."—Ex. 15. 3.

"I came not to send peace but a sword."—Matt. 10. 34.

"For I have seen God, face to face, and my life is preserved."—Gen. 32. 30.

"No man hath seen God at any time."—John 1. 18.

"All scripture is given by inspiration of God."—2. Tim. 3. 16.

"That which I speak I speak not after the Lord."—2. Cor. 11. 47.

Adultery is forbidden and permitted. See 2 Sam. 12. 8-12, and 16-22 and Levit. 20. 10-11 and Num. 31.

The earth is to be destroyed.—2. Pet. 3. 10. The earth is never to be

destroyed.—Eccle. 1. 4.

There is to be no resurrection of the dead.—Isaiah 26. 14. There is to be a resurrection of the dead.—1. Cor. 15. 52

God is unchangeable. "For I am the Lord; I change not."—Mal. 3. 6. God is changeable.—1. Sam. 2. 30-31

Judas hanged himself.—Matt. 27. 5. Judas did not hang himself.—Acts 1. 18.

God is just.—Deut. 31. 4. God is unjust.—Ex. 20. 5.

To this Christians raise three objections: That we should not prove anything by the Bible that we cannot believe inspired; that the Old Bible has been done away with by the New; that all of the Bible is not intended to be understood by man.

Can you believe that a wise, kind and just God has caused a Bible to be issued, while wishing a part of it not to be understood, over which the brightest of American, Latin and Greek scholars widely differ as to its meaning?

In 90 per cent of the sermons I have heard, the old Bible has been quoted. By it you prove the story of the creation and the coming of the Savior; then why say that the old Bible is done away with?

Justly and morally we have the same right to prove our claimed inspired Bible against itself, by the Bible, that we have to prove the claimed inspired Koran, against itself by the Koran of Turkey; the same right that we have to prove the claimed inspired Vedas, against itself by the Vedas of India.

There is no better method of proving misrepresentations of a book, than the book itself.

If the Clergy will drop the inspiration, the miracles, the absurdities, then we can agree. Then partial laws will cease.

It is not our purpose to do away with all churches, preachers or Bibles. A rational construction of it, would not destroy their occupation by any means; but it would render them more essential to moral ideas.

It would dignify them as teachers and helpers rather than as censors and masters. It would make them less priestly, but more brotherly; less superstitious, but more rational. It might diminish their authority, but it would increase their usefulness.

We might then have fewer in number but they would be superior in ability. A few might have to seek other employment but they would be happier. If they are able to meet the objections and refute the arguments that are adverse to their religion why do they use their utmost endeavors to prevent such objections and arguments from being heard or read?

I insist there is absolutely no motive thinkable why any one should voluntarily assume an attitude of hostility towards the prevailing religions unless, indeed, prompt to highest principle of duty and honor. If we were in the least inclined to be dishonest we would not be here, but there, because here we have much to lose socially, politically and financially; there is much to gain. Here hard continuous struggle, ostracism, and persecution; there gliding smoothly with the stream of popular conventionality.

The following quotations are as much a part of the Bible and as much inspired as any of it.

"God shall send them strong do

fusion that they might believe a lie."

"—2. Thess. II. 11.

"Now therefore the Lord hath put a lying spirit in the mouth of all these, thy prophets."—1. Kings xxii. 23.

"And he turned back and looked on them, and cursed them in the name of the Lord. And there came forth two she bears out of the wood and tore forty and two children of them."—II. Kings ii. 24.

"Manasseh used enchantments and used witchcraft, and dealt with a familiar spirit and with wizards."—2. Chron. 33. 6.

For witchcraft see Isaiah 8. 19. 2.

2. Kings 21. 6, and 1. Sam. 23.

"This woman said unto me, Give thy son that we may eat him today, and we will eat my son tomorrow. So we boiled my son and did eat him."—2 Kings 6. 28-29.

"Ye shall not eat of anything that dieth of itself; thou shalt give it unto the stranger that is in thy gates; he may eat it, or thou mayest sell it."—Deut. 14. 21.

"If any man come unto me and hate not his father and mother, and wife and children, and his own life also he cannot be my disciple."—Luke 14. 26.

"And if they will learn anything let them ask their husbands at home; for it is a shame for a woman to speak in church"—1. Cor. 14. 35.

The Bible teaches and sanctions human slavery. See Lev. 25. 44, 46. The Bible teaches future rewards and contradicts the same. See Eze. 9. 5 and 3. 18-23.

"For God is not the author of confusion but of peace."—1. Cor. 14. 33.

"The Lord is a man of war."—Ex. 15. 3.

"I came not to send peace but a sword."—Matt. 10. 34.

"For I have seen God, face to face, and my life is preserved."—Gen. 32. 30.

"No man hath seen God at any time."—John 1. 18.

"All scripture is given by inspiration of God."—2. Tim. 3. 16.

"That which I speak I speak not after the Lord."—2. Cor. 11. 47.

Adultery is forbidden and permitted. See 2 Sam. 12. 8-12, and 16-22 and Levit. 20. 10-11 and Num. 31.

The earth is to be destroyed.—2. Pet. 3. 10. The earth is never to be

destroyed.—Eccle. 1. 4.

But this Catholic scheme has

ruined the happiness of Dewey.

Close up your clubs.

## WARDEN COFFIN

Of the Ohio Penitentiary Should Be Retained in His Office.

That I should personally greatly admire Warden E G Coffin of the Ohio Penitentiary would appear natural to all who know of my experience as a prisoner under him.

I have the broadest opportunities to know of him from all sources of information, and I regard him as the best fitted in all qualities of head heart and body, for his position, of any man in America.

Pneumology is now a science, and natural endowment and long and attentive and conscientious personal experience make Warden E. G. Coffin, of Columbus, O., pre-eminently competent in that science.

It is not merely for his own good and for the good of the large number of prisoners of whom he has charge, all of whom, possibly, some incorrigible cases, would want him retained there, but for the good of the country at large that he should be retained in his position.

His term expires next May, and Governor Nash—address Columbus, Ohio—has the appointment of the Warden for the next term.

I hope that my friends from every where will write letters to Governor Nash asking the retention in office of Warden Coffin, and that marked copies of this article will be sent, by my friends, to all Ohio newspapers.

This is not written in any way at the suggestion of Warden Coffin, but purely of my own sympathy for my late fellow prisoners, and for the good of the country.

My friends in Ohio, and every where, will always love him and now is an opportunity to show their appreciation of him.

How I Got to be a Catholic

I told you recently in the BLUE that I had been made a Catholic, and said I would explain to you how it happened.

By mistake my name has been printed Charles E. Moore, as once done by a number of Northern newspapers it having originated in a mistake.

## MISSIONARIES

## IN JAPAN ALARMED.

Japanese Students at Yale Bring Back Broader Theology.

## Japanese Keen and Relentless Thinkers.

New Haven, Nov. 20.—There are eighteen Japanese students at Yale. Most of the Japanese students at Yale are taking post-graduate courses and it is noticeable that they are to be found in many fields of study. The love of the Oriental mind for the perplexities of metaphysics gives the greatest number of men to the pursuit of philosophy. \* \* Without exception they are wide readers and keen and relentless thinkers; they are the apes of students and their veracity and delightful sense of humor make them warm-hearted and sympathetic company. They are all-around scholars; even the Japanese who spend most of their time in the psychological laboratory investigating the properties of acoustic space and the rhythm of bodily movement find both time and the inclination to attend the lectures on New Testament literature delivered by Prof. Porter in the Divinity school. There is much that is interesting about the religious beliefs of these students. It seems impossible to hold the Japanese student to a prescribed view of theology; he has a horror of dogmatic religious teaching. Firm in his belief in the fundamental truths of Christianity he looks for natural law in the spiritual world; he is thorough-going evolutionist and maintains that there is progress in religion as in every other sphere of thought. Recent literature of missionary authorship laments the fact that there has been a reaction among Japanese Christians that the good old faith which missionaries introduced has been tainted with new ideas. The missionaries charge the students who return to Japan with preaching heterodox doctrines and with being unfaithful to the teachers who brought them out of the darkness of heathendom. The days are growing troubous for the missionaries in Japan. They are meeting with opposition both from within and from without. The intellectual element in their churches challenges their conservative views in theology and the native preachers are not proving as docile as once they were. It was bad enough to be assailed by clever debaters of the universities, for hundreds of Japanese students return home every year from Europe, saturated with materialistic German philosophy and strongly influenced by Bismarckian nationalism, but students returning from Christian America take back something which disturbs them even more. The missionaries of evangelical churches in Japan have been powerless to shut out the broader theology which Japanese students imbibe at Yale and other New England seminaries. Instead of accepting the new freedom in religious thought, so characteristic of the present day, and surrendering non-essentials, many of the missionaries have held to narrow interpretations in Biblical narrative. It follows that Japanese students at Yale are out of sympathy with such missionaries. \* \* \*

They prize independence of thought, and hope some day to make the Christian church of Japan entirely independent of foreign control, or, in other words, to send the missionaries of narrow creeds back to their own lands. The Christian Japanese student at Yale is singularly free and outspoken on this question. The missionaries of today, to give his side of the case, are not like their predecessors of the past, who were, all of them, self-sacrificing men. As a rule the missionaries of today are intolerant and cling to antiquated forms of thought, these Japanese students say. Instead of trying to understand philosophical representations of Christianity, they persist in holding to old beliefs and doctrines and thus become the laughing stock of the Japanese people who become indifferent or drift into materialism. Cincinnati Times-Star.

The actual facts in the above case are not all told. Only last week, a cable from Japan informs us that that government has prohibited religious exercises and the teaching of Christianity in any form in its public schools. The Japanese government is on to the Christian racket, that of fastening itself to the State, and thriving at the State's expense. So it lipped in the bud, its first sly encroachment, that of the attempt to inculcate itself into the public schools, and thus slyly grow into the body politic.

From the most reliable reports, these given by Christian missionaries who are honest and truthful enough to admit failure, and by intelligent Japanese, the Minister from that country to Washington, especially, it is very much to be doubted if Christianity, in all these years of work and expense, has made one single sincere convert to its teachings, surely no intelligent, educated one. Whatever advance they have made is from the very scum of the population, and it is well known that the sincerity of such, in every case is to

be doubted. Some of the Japs, for the shelter and food to be received at the missions, pretend to be interested in Christianity, those of the better class, likewise make the same pretension of interest, in order to acquire an English education and as soon as the knowledge sought is acquired, turn their backs on Christianity, and show it more contempt than those who never come in direct contact with it. The Japs are not to be caught with smiles and soup and beads and trinkets and prayers.

They all know that Catholics and Protestants hate each other and wonder at it. If just one of these superstitions had the sole right of way, Christianity might make some progress with the Jap. He is a being intensely interested in the mechanical arts, and as Christianity pushes along professing and boasting that all progress and scientific advancement are the result of its teachings, the Jap, seeing our modern inventions, naturally would incline to regard Christianity as a superior religion, and gradually accept it. But there seems to be something in the Christian religion insurmountable in itself. It is incohesive by nature, and that very incohesion is proving its destruction. It must have threats to cut, and when it has no other victims it seeks them within its own ranks. Christianity is a country never without an insurrection, or one plotting.

The Catholic missionaries in Japan proclaim the Protestants to be heretics, corruptors of morals, followers of strange gods, anarchists who will destroy the government, and people who are not recognized as Christians at home. The Protestants tell them that Catholicism is a low form of superstition, that its followers are image worshippers, idolaters, that only the ignorant and depraved at home are connected with it, that civilization has outgrown it, and it is only the relic of a barbarous age.

This leads the smart Jap to take in both schools, and study both branches of Christianity, and find if he can which is the liar. Almost without an exception, he finds out that both tell the truth about each other, and this is further verified by Japanese students who arrive from England, Germany, France and America.

The result is that Christianity is making no advancement in Japan whatever. It has missions and schools galore, but the Japs attend them, not for the religion taught, but for the knowledge they may acquire. They play Christianity just like millions of professed Christians in this country do, for just what they can get out of it.

A protestant lady missionary who has taught for years in Japan told me that while attending the Protestant schools, the Japs were seemingly, the most pious, meek and humble creatures she ever saw, and often when they were congratulating themselves on gaining a bright convert who would go out and spread the gospel he would pick up and go over to the Catholic school, and there be just as humble and as seemingly wrapped up in the Catholic faith as he had been in the Protestant. Likewise those who come from the Catholic schools to the Protestant would take on Protestantism. She further said the only hope of making a Christian of a Jap was to take him when he was young and keep him, if possible in one school, and educate him in one faith, for if he learned of both, he was sure to turn out an Infidel.

To read the reports of Missionaries, one would believe that churches are going up everywhere, and the Japanese are tumbling over each other to get into them. It is true that a good many churches are building and a great many Japs attend, but their profession of religion, unless it be with the very ignorant, is all a pretension. The late imperial decree, prohibiting Christianity taught in the public schools is a set back which has lifted the missionary from his feet, and bumped the hard ground with his pious posterior.

How can Christians expect to convert a country, whose students, educated in Christian countries, return and report that Christianity is on the decline in its own ground; that it is hopelessly divided in its own ranks; that the great United States government will not incorporate it into the state; that states everywhere are inclining to its disestablishment; that the very head of the Catholic church is repudiated by his own native state and people; that all nations have come to regard him as an offensive political meddler; that the Christian clergy everywhere fail to get people to come to church; that in the United States, less than one third of the people are Christians; that its great colleges teach doctrines in opposition to the Christian system; that science utterly ignores its origin, its dogmas and its authority.

Can anything be more childish or pitifully amusing than the honest misguided efforts of Christian missionaries themselves, who know that vice, lawlessness and ignorance abound in Paris, London, New York and Chicago, to an extent not known in Japan?

Can there be anything more ridiculous than Christianity's attempt to convert Japan, when two thirds of the population of the United States remain unconverted, and infidelity increasing every day? The Japanese are not fools. They are naturalists, materialists by inclination and disposition. Japan is destined to become a great Infidel country. Civilization will lead them from

their own superstitions, and conflicting Christianity in their midst will teach them to beware of any other.

The Japanese minister at Washington in several interviews, has made this plain. I shall send him a number of copies of this issue of the Blade, and request that he forward this article to leading Japanese papers for publication, and for the especial benefit of American missionaries, whom I advise to come back and try to civilize, instead of killing, the Indian here, and civilize Christians white people who burn negroes at the stakes, and purify our cities, corrupt in politics, and foul with vices, that even the beasts of the field instinctively shun. W.

SIMPLE QUERIES  
GROW FAMOUS.

## Popular Discussions Which Have Sprung From Insignificant Questions Asked At Random.

It is surprising how soon a simple question may in a short time become a public question, and one which may eventually concern the whole nation.

"Why don't men go to church?" is one of the national questions which originated in this way. It was asked in the correspondent column of a daily paper, and hundreds of answers poured in with such promptitude that it was found impossible to print a quarter of them, and the interesting discussion had to close.

The following was one of the favored ones which was printed in the Chicago Times-Herald.

## BECAUSE THERE IS NO HELL.

Rochelle, Ill.—Editor of the Herald:—I do not go to church because there is no hell. If I thought hell was a terrible reality I would go there—to church, not to hell—to keep out of hell. If there is a hell the church is paramountly the greatest necessity to keep us out of hell, not out of church. If I thought any church could prove that there is hell and all the ghastly terrors it implies I could never smile again; life for me would change from rather an interesting romance to deepest tragedy: I would curse myself for having given life to solitary human being; Job-like I would humiliate myself in sackcloth (if indeed I could keep out of the madhouse): sacrifice all worldly pleasures; attend such church as often as it opens its doors and abjectly crouch at the feet of priest or preacher, a limp slave to obey his commands. Because if this hellish doctrine is true its portentous possibilities are so horrible, so appalling, that to avert such impending calamity would tower as far above all other motives of life as the Himalayas are above an anthill. But thank Dame Nature (who is more merciful than the mythical God of an orthodox church), I have fearlessly searched to obtain evidence in the broad domain of nature and science and have found it not. There is no hell! Let the world rejoice.

Of late the best minds within the church have renounced the "monstrous doctrine," as Beecher called it, and the testimony of the intellectually superiors of course outweighs and annuls the vague notions of the ignorant. "There is no hell" must stand. And if there is no hell there is no use for the church, no use for Christianity, which is founded upon hell. In "Adam's fall" humanity in general was condemned to death and hell. But, after 4,000 years, "God repented" of people the world with countless beings, then, without lighter fault of theirs, consigning them to eternal torment. So he "sent his only begotten son" and decreed that those who believe and otherwise conform to his decrees should be saved, but those who believe not "shall be damned." This unmercifully consigns every human being having lived before the advent of Christ and all those who have not conformed to these imperative requirements to hell. This is original Christianity pure and simple and to discard hell is to discard Christ whose sole mission was to "save sinners from hell."

"Christians"—so classed by statistics—comprise now at most about one fifth of the human race or about 300,000,000 persons. From this number must be deducted at least one half who are not true or select Christians; this leaves at most 150,000,000 out of 1,500,000,000 who are saved, or 1,350,000,000 of the persons now living (not mentioning the countless millions who have died of human life) who, if Christianity is true, are unmercifully consigned to conditions of eternal and most excruciating torment after death.

Beecher, better than his religion, insisted that consonant with "divine justice," the heathen, not having the light of Christianity, must be saved. But if Christianity is true this is impossible. The heathen must be damned or Christianity is a farce. If the heathen is saved without Christ, it were infinitely better we had all been born heathens—Hottentots, South Sea Islanders; anything except Christians, because in that case don't you see? by virtue of such barbarian heredity, we would all have been saved: whereas now, as nominal Christians, 99 per cent of all of us wretched creatures are perpetually consigned to a Calvinistic hell. Furthermore, if the heathen is saved and Christianity is

true, as per Beecher's interpretation the startling fact appears that Christ instead of being the "savior" of the world, would then have been and is now the direct cause of every non-conformist Christian, like Unitarians, Universalists, new theologians, a la Swing, Thomas, Savage, Kerr, Mangasario and others—being condemned to eternal torment for repudiating Christ and the "Holy Ghost" as a unit with their God. Because if God had not sent Christ and with him the obligation to worship him as God, we would now, of course, all be pagans and, consequently saved.

Christianity then, without hell, is a false religion, or with hell monstrously cruel and unjust. Intelligent men and women will drop it.

Fortunately the hell proposition can now be taken from the domain of speculation and decided by reason and science. This consigns this barbarous and most gigantic of all myths to oblivion—this beautiful world harboring no such terrible abode of fiends and woes; nor is such a condition or locality possible—or reachable were it possible—in other points of space, absolutely prevent all forms of organic life therein. Hence any mortal or spirit venturing beyond our atmosphere, instead of being incinerated, would be mercifully congealed into an eternal icicle.

Hell being a myth, Christianity ceases to be a necessity, and the mission of Christ becomes a grotesque anomaly; hence all must be buried in the same grave. That is why I shall not attend church until, soon, I can attend funeral.

## OTTO WETTSTEIN.

FOR FREE DIS-  
TRIBUTION

## 40,000 Blades of the Issue of December 10th.

The BLADE for December 10th will have 40,000 extras printed, and will contain an article from a gentleman in one of the largest cities in the world. I also expect to have in that issue articles from Mrs. Josephine K. Henry of Kentucky, the ablest Infidel writer and speaker in the world, and from Dr. Wilson of Cincinnati, and from M. Grier Kidder of San Francisco, if his article can get here in time.

Dr. Wilson is the most scholarly, and Mr. Kidder the wittiest of all the Infidel writers in the world.

I want the BLADE's friends, from all over the world, to send me names to which samples of the issue of Dec. 10th are to be sent, and to write me how many copies they can distribute to advantage; no charge being made, as they are already paid for.

This article will stand in the BLADE as long as there are still copies of that issue to distribute.

## The Ohio Liberal Society

Meets in G. A. R. Hall, 35 West Sixth St., Cincinnati, O., every Sunday evening at 8 o'clock. Following is the program for December:

Miss L. Graham Crozier, the able lecturer, well known to Cincinnati audiences, will occupy the rostrum during the entire month. The following are the Subjects:

## First Two—"The Moral Interregnum."

December 3—"The Decay of Institution: the Individual the Source and Standard of Right."

December 10—"The Change Prog nosticated by the Poets of the Century:—Tennyson's "In Memoriam" (1850) Browning's "Paracelsus" (1837). Whitman's "Song of Myself" (1881)

Last three—Spencer and Darwin.

December 17—"The Synthetic Philosophy and its Relation to the Conduct of Life."

December 24—"The Relation of the Philosopher, Spencer, to the Scientist, Darwin."

December 31—"The Contribution of the Nineteenth Century to the Twentieth Century."

MY NEW BOOK  
"BEHIND THE BARS; 31498."

For the benefit of the 40,000 people who will for the first time see the B. G. BLADE when this issue comes to them. I here state that my next book "Behind the Bars; 31498" will I hope be ready by Christmas, price \$1.50.

Discontinuance  
Of the Club Rates.

On account of the increase in the price of paper, caused by the paper trust, all club rates for the Blade will be discontinued after January 15, until there is a decrease in the price of paper.

The B. G. BLADE is now agent for Peter Eckler, New York, the greatest Infidel book publisher in the world, and I would be glad to have your patronage if you want Freethought literature. See ad on page 4.

## WETTSTEIN'S WATCHES.

WARRANTED, RELIABLE! PERFECT!

All best American, latest improved, of the grades specified. Prices in silverine screw cases, 7 jewels, non-catchable hair spring, \$4.50; 15 jewels, \$6.50; 17 jewels, adjusted, \$9.00; the Elgin "B. W. Raymond," full adjusted 17 jewels, \$15.00; the "Otto Wettsstein" or the "B. W. Raymond," nickel, 17 jewels, full adjusted, \$18.00; the "Otto Wettsstein Special," nickel, the Waltham "Vanguard," or the Elgin 181, 21 jewels, extra fine throughout, \$23.00.

The above in 4-ounce coin silver, or in elegant hand-chased, 20 year gold filled dust proof cases, \$4.00 more; same, hunting, \$6.00 more; in best 25-year cases, \$6.00, or in 25-year hunting cases, \$8.00 more than in silverine cases.

## LADIES' GOLD WATCHES.

In gold filled 20-year hunting cases, 7 jewels, \$10.00; 15 jewels, \$13.00; 16 jewels, adjusted, \$16.00; the "Otto Wettsstein" 17 jewels, full adjusted, extra fine throughout, \$22.50. These in finest gold filled, 25-year cases, \$1.50 more. All artistic hand chased, latest style, in plush cases, prepaid. Manufacturers' guaranteed and mine accompanies all filled cases and watches kept in order one year. (Beware of die-work "engraving" on cases which many dealers sell—they are fraud!) For solid cases correspond with me.

## CHAINS AND JEWELRY.

Long guards, latest style, soldered links, opals or other sets in slides, best rolled plate, \$1.50, to \$2.00; best filled gold, solid gold slides, \$3.00 to \$4.00; solid gold, \$8.00, \$10.00 to \$15.00. Rings 10, 14 or 18 kt. plain or chased, \$1.00 to \$10.00. Opals up to \$30.00. Diamond Rings, pins and studs from \$5.00 to \$300.00. Garnet Jewelry a specialty, guaranteed 20 per cent. below lowest prices elsewhere. Emblems of all orders, Buttons, charms, etc.

## SILVERWARE.

A fine set of sterling silver tea spoons, \$4.00 to \$4.50; extra heavy \$5. to \$6.00. Table spoons \$10.00 up. All silver nicely marked. "1847" Rogers Bros' best triple plated tea spoons, plain, \$1.50. Dessert spoons \$3.00. Table spoons \$3.00. Knives \$1.60. Forks \$1.60; fancy patterns, \$2.00, \$3.50 and \$4.00. Knives, \$1.80. Fork, \$1.80. All per set of six (not marked). All goods prepaid except knives and forks, which will cost 40 cents per dozen for registered mail or express.

## THE INGERSOLL SOUVENIR.

The most artistic spoon ever produced. Massive sterling silver, tea, \$2.00; Orange, \$2.25; Sugar, \$2.50. Gilt Bowls, 50 cents more.

## THE TORCH OF REASON BADGE.

Solid gold, small model, 10 kt., \$2.00; 14 kt., \$2.75; with diamond, \$5.00 to \$8.00. Charms, 10 kt., \$3.00; 14 kt., \$4.00, with diamond, \$6.00 to \$10.00. Large model pins, \$3.00; 14 kt., \$4.00; with diamond, \$10.00 to \$15.00. Charms, \$10.00, \$15.00, \$20.00, to \$30.00.

## EXPERT REPAIRING.

Watches cleaned \$1.00; Springs, \$1.00; jewels \$1.00. Three \$1.00 jobs in 1 or 3 watches, \$2.50 and transit paid one way. Send for my price lists and my tract, "Theism in the Crucible" free.

## OTTO WETTSTEIN, ROCHELLE, ILL.

THE FALLACIES  
OF FAITH

**596**

Is the Number of Persons Who, to This Time, Have Subscribed for "Behind the Bars; 31498."

At \$1.50 for Each Copy, Except When Reduction is Made For Agents.

When the number of subscribers indicated in the large figures above shall have reached 500, amounting to \$750.00, at \$1.50 a

(Written for the BLUE GRASS BLADE.)

# THE ARCH CRIME OF CHRISTENDOM.

BY MRS. JOSEPHINE K. HENRY.

"Thy desire shall be to thy husband and he shall rule over thee"—Holy Bible.

"Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection."—Holy Bible.

"Woman what have I to do with thee?"—Jesus.

"Touch me not."—Jesus to Mary Magdalene.

"All the wisdom of Vedas, and all that has been written in books, is to be found concealed in the heart of a woman."—Vedas.

"When women are honored the divinities are content."—Parsee Bible."

I have a few ideas to express on the greatest crime of the ages, namely WIFE MURDER. These ideas may not accord with those of others, but they are honest, and why should we fear to express honest thoughts? The world today is a battle field because humanity fears to express its honest thoughts, and because women and men are bond slaves to laws and customs established by dead generations, and conventionalities enforced by the living. In order to retain power over the minds and bodies of their fellows. Christianity is crying out to the whole world "come into our fold, and we will civilize, humanize, and save you," yet the crimes of Christendom put to shame in numbers and brutality those of other ages and religions. One of the most frequent crimes in the long calendar of crime in Christendom is wife murder.

England and the United States boast of leading the world in Christian civilization, yet the press of these nations wreaks with the most brutal murders of the wives, mistresses, and sweethearts of men, many of these women bearing unborn children in their bodies. In the past four days the press of this section of the United States records the appalling fact that 11 women have been murdered by men who claimed them as wives or sweethearts. The murder of women by men has grown so common that the press gives less space to such an occurrence than to a pigeon shooting match, a fox hunt, or a prize fight.

Four thousand two hundred and thirteen wives have been murdered by their husbands in Christian United States in 17 months, and the epidemic of woman killing is so wide spread, that the young man, before he gets a wife, is trying his master hand at the murder business, on the young woman who rejects his attentions. This carnival of wife and sweet-heart murder is food for deep reflection to all who are observing our Christian civilization with its alarming conditions.

To my mind the cause of all crimes committed against women, is the oft repeated command of the "Holy Bible" that women be kept in silence, subjection, and obedience to their husbands.

I have searched the Scriptures diligently and I find one of the first acts of the God of the Bible was to curse woman, and the curse continues from the first woman Eve in Genesis, to the scarlet woman of Revelations, upon whose forehead was written "Mystery, Babylon the Great, the Mother of Harlots, and abominations of the earth, and this curse has not been lifted even unto this present day, when thousands of women in Christendom for the crime of disobedience to their husbands are paying the penalty with their lives.

The command for the subjection and obedience of women to their husbands rings clear and true through the whole Bible.

"Thy desire shall be to thy husband and he shall rule over thee."

"Wives submit yourselves to your own husbands, as unto the Lord."

"Let the woman learn in silence with all subjection."

"I suffer not a woman to teach, nor to usurp authority over the man but to be in silence."

"For Adam was first formed, then Eve. And Adam was not deceived, but the woman being deceived was in the transgression."

"If a woman would know anything let her learn of her husband at home."

Let women adorn themselves in modest apparel with shame-facedness."

"The husband is the head of the wife, even as Christ is the head of the church."

"Let your women keep silence in the churches; for it is not permitted unto them to speak; but they are commanded to be under obedience."

These commands are given in plain language, so plain that it seems to me that women with defective mentality could understand them. There is nothing in all the range of literature of religions that so humiliates, insults, and degrades women as the commands of the "Holy Bible" for her subjection and obedience. If the Bible is God's holy word, when it says that wives must obey their husbands, and learn in silence with all subjection, I take it for granted that God means what

he says. The highest duty of a Christian is to obey God's law. As God repeatedly commands that wives shall obey their husbands, it is clearly the duty of husbands to see that God's command is executed, even if a dose of hot lead or cold steel has to be administered to the disobedient wife.

If a man does not see to it with a knife or pistol that a wife "obey and serve him in subjection as long as life lasts," he is not living up to Christian privileges. If these methods have to be resorted to, to keep women in subjection, so much the worse for the women and the Bible.

If a wife will not remain in silence and subjection, as long as life lasts, a sure method of forcing her into subjection is to murder. A dead woman is a subdued rebel. This is the same principle now being acted upon by Christian United States in murdering Filipinos, and Christian England murdering Boers, in order to advance Christian civilization.

Thousands of sermons are preached about the fall of Adam, the meekness of Moses, the patience of Job, the wisdom of Solomon, (always dead silence on the morals of Solomon) the learning of Paul; sermons on the duty of women; sermons against dancing, theatre going, horse racing, card playing, ballot box stuffing, and such other diversions, but there never was and there never will be a sermon preached in Christendom against wife murder. The Clergy dare not touch this crime, for the obedience and subjection of women is the corner stone of Christianity.

The obey "vow" in that marriage ceremony commanded by the church has infused a deadly virus into our society.

A license issued by the state, and a marriage ceremony performed by a preacher gives the husband complete control over the body and actions of the wife, whether the wife recognizes this fact or not, and all men who deal justly and generously with their wives are living above the law and not in accordance with Biblical command. The idea that woman is a subject in our present system is thoroughly recognized by the boy before he reaches the age of 10 years.

The question asked at the marriage altar "Who giveth this woman to be married to this man," is the deepest insult that could be offered to the woman who always puts up the biggest stake in the matrimonial venture; and yet the average mature woman, as well as the unsuspecting trusting maiden accepts such degrading conditions of bargain or sale without a protest.

The church catechism says that "the chief end of man is to glorify God" and the church says that the chief end of woman is to glorify man, and serve, honor and obey him in holy matrimony.

The condition that the woman must serve and obey her lord and master as long as life lasts is of no consequence in comparison with the great conquest she has gained in capturing a lord and master.

Suppose every marriageable woman could be made to realize the possibilities contained in the "serve and obey" marriage vow? Suppose they could look into the faces of millions of women who are physical slaves, financial slaves and domestic bond servants, without wages; or even look upon the lacerated body of the wife whose husband has taken her life because she did not "serve and obey" him according to the man's idea, do you not think they would halt before ensnaring themselves in the silken bonds which might be changed to bonds of iron and demand that the church revise her marriage ceremony?

If women realized their power the church would be forced to revision in short order. If God's word can be constantly revised on all other subjects, we don't see why the code for women cannot be revised. The reason this has not been done long ago, is that the Bible revisers have always been men, and they recognized the wisdom of the "obey, silence, and subjection" policy.

Why should a woman be sworn to obey her husband, any more than the husband should be sworn to obey his wife? The woman is often the superior mentally to the man, and nearly always morally. Under present conditions when three-fourths of all crime is committed by men, it would be wiser and safer for women to issue commands until a large portion of masculinity is reconstructed.

To have a religion that a woman shall obey a man, degrades the man even more than the woman, and transmits this degradation to children, from woman the race receives its mental impressions, as well as physical quickening. Such indulgence offered to woman is to say that all men are wiser, better, and purer than women, and that woman needs a master, and therefore the Bible and Christianity decree that she shall have one.

There is something more than strong drink or temper, the wife he has sworn to love and cherish, is always the one he abuses and heaps his wrath upon. He would not dare to extend his abuse to others. He knows whether drunk or sober that other people have rights he is bound to respect, and he knows too, that

the church decrees that his wife "must obey and serve him," so he justifies himself in forcing obedience by any method he may elect.

He believes too that if he murders his wife in cold blood, and society commits the crime of swinging him from the scaffold for the deed, he can accept Jesus as his Savior and be baptized and his blood-stained soul soul is thereby washed as white as snow, and he is given a through ticket to his heavenly home.

The murdered wife might have been like the woman who was asked by the parson "What she knew of the blessed Lord and Savior Jesus Christ?" With an enquiring look she replied: "Mister I never heard of the gentleman. We live so far from the big road, and John never tells me nothing of nobody that comes to these parts."

If the murdered wife belongs to that class that "lives so far from the big road" her position is the murder route to eternal punishment. Great is the mystery of godliness! Any religious law or custom that fosters a spirit of tyranny in the human heart strikes back at humanity with terrible vengeance, and the obedience of wives is an emphasized Biblical decree. I do not wish to convey the idea that men are more tyrannical or brutal under exasperating circumstances than women. Not at all. I think men and women are both off the same piece of goods, and that men exercise these propensities more than women because the religious law has inculcated the idea that man should rule, and woman should be a subject.

Neither the best men nor the best women are fit to be trusted with power over their fellows, for us to this present time no man, and no woman ever had absolute power over a fellow human being, who did not abuse it.

If the Bible taught that husbands should be obedient and in subjection to wives, the crime of husband murder would doubtless be as common as wife murder is today. But the Bible does not deal with woman as a human being, or an individual with human rights. Woman from a Biblical point of view, is an after-thought of the Creator, to be held in subjection to man, and chiefly valued as being a race preserver. An act of disobedience or a suspicion against a wife's chastity is often considered sufficient cause for murdering her; yet if all the wives whose saint and sinner husbands are untrue to them, should pursue them unto death, the state of Texas would not be large enough to buy the victims. There would not be enough members left in the United States Congress to bury the dead, or to object to Brigham Roberts taking his seat.

Our marriage system decreeing the obedience and subjection of women has been invented by the church since the time of those rosebuds of domestic purity Solomon and David, to the saints and sinners of today who murder wives, or flood our divorce courts. The condition of the domestic life of Christendom proclaims the result of this wrong to the mothers of the race.

If women and men who realize the alarming conditions of society today will copy and study every verse in the Holy Bible from Genesis to Revelations that in any way alludes to woman, they will not only have a choice collection of literary excerpts of which even Anthony Comstock would be proud, but the cause of the carnival of wife murder will be explained. The reason that thousands more women are not victims is because men are so much better, grander, and nobler than their religion.

Every wife that has been murdered by her "lord and master" since Constantine the wife murderer established the Christian religion, is a victim of the doctrine of the subjection of women.

Thousands of cases of wife murder by the knife or bullet are yearly recorded in the press of Christian nations, but there are thousands of wives murdered by other methods of which no notice is taken, and the Christian minister commits these victims to their graves with the Scripture "The Lord gave and taketh away, blessed be the name of the Lord."

It is high time that women who are the chief support of Christianity should turn a search light on religious systems that makes tyrants and murderers of men, and slaveholders and victims of women.

Poor humanity! Its life voyage is tempestuous enough without these diabolisms destroying Nature's divine harmony between the sexes. Comradeship between the husband and wife will evolve all that is best in human nature, and this can never be done under a religious system that makes man the rules and woman the subject. I have observed that the most religious people are the greatest tyrants. If men, they tyrannize over women, and if women, they tyrannize over children and servants. The spirit of tyranny sanctioned by the Bible arms nations to destroy other nations, places the ecclesiastical and political boss in the saddle that they may ride rough shod over their trusting and credulous fellows, enthroned the domestic mogul at the hearth-stone, strews the matrimonial sea with wrecks and wrinkles the earth with the graves of the victims of tyranny.

Further than this there is no accredited body of physicians or of real scientists anywhere who recognize that there is any efficacy in the Christian Science treatment, and yet all over this country there are continually new reports of children, too young to judge for themselves, who die from the want of medical treatment, because their parents and guardians believe in Christian

Science and refuse to give them medicines.

Even if we grant to mature people the right to suicide, as it were, by declining remedies for their own maladies, it is still questionable whether they may apply their theories to those in their charge.

That there is great empiricism in medicine has always been true. The Egyptian hieroglyphic for a doctor is a duck; an evident allusion to his "quack." But, for all this, expert medical treatment is, according to the consensus of the competent, the most efficient remedy for disease.

The question now is: Shall these Christian Scientists be allowed to practice their religion, plainly supported by the New Testament in healing (?) the sick by faith and prayer, or shall the law take the matter in hand, and in prohibiting their practice, officially renounce a scriptural teaching that is as plain as any in the Bible.

Versailles, Kentucky.

## The Theologico-Therapeutic Status.

It now seems highly probable that ere long, Christian Scientists will force an issue between the Christian religion and real scientists.

These Christian Therapeutics—real or so-called—plainly have the Bible on their side. There is not in the New Testament any allusion to any means of curing the sick except by miraculous means.

It is said that Jesus cured the sick by miracles, and he says to his disciples that they shall do greater miracles than he ever did.

The only instruction given in the Bible as to how sick Christians are to be cured is in James v, 14, 15, and is as follows:

"Is any sick among you let him call for the elders of the church; and let them pray over him, anointing him with oil in the name of the Lord;

And the prayer of faith shall save the sick, and the Lord shall raise him up."

Christians deal with that passage of scripture as they do with all others; they accept or reject it just as suits their purposes.

Dwight L. Moody ranks, with Sam Jones and Talmage, as one of the great theological trinity of the United States. He lately said, in a public address, that if he were taken sick he would send for the finest physician in the city. □ He is a bloated gourmand. □ He was soon after taken sick and had his physicians.

But while Mr. Moody and an overwhelming majority of the priests and preachers of the United States do not believe the plain scripture statement that faith and prayer and anointing with oil in the name of the Lord, will cure sick people, and are not willing to risk this inspired perscription, there are many Christians who do believe it, and, as is true of all these religious fads and fakes, the number of people believing it is rapidly increasing and they are generally people above the average Christian intellectuality.

The constitution of this country certainly grants to its people the right to practice their religious convictions. Of course, however, this must mean when these convictions do not conflict with the safety and happiness of others. This would be necessary to restrain such parties as Freeman of Pocassett, and several others who, in imitation of Abraham have offered their children as sacrifices to the Lord by killing them. In the case of Roberts the Mormon Congressman it must be decided whether he should be allowed to have three wives because his religion based on the Bible, in his judgment, warrants a plurality of wives; Solomon being a case in hand and the Old Testament, ubique, recognizing polygamy while the New Testament says nothing about it.

These Christian Scientists have a female apostle that they claim is inspired of God to teach this Christian healing. She is a shrewd Yankee adventuress named Mary Baker Eddy and her scheme is to make money.

I know some Christian women who are above the average of Christian intelligence, who I think, honestly believe in the alleged cures of this woman, their information being based upon the testimony of professional advocates of this woman Mrs. Eddy, or upon the reports of persons who only pretended to be sick, or of persons who needed rest and were actually benefited by the rest that is an essential part of the Christian Science code of therapeutics.

Further than this there is no accredited body of physicians or of real scientists anywhere who recognize that there is any efficacy in the Christian Science treatment, and yet all over this country there are continually new reports of children, too young to judge for themselves, who die from the want of medical treatment, because their parents and guardians believe in Christian

Science and refuse to give them medicines.

Even if we grant to mature people the right to suicide, as it were, by declining remedies for their own maladies, it is still questionable whether they may apply their theories to those in their charge.

That there is great empiricism in medicine has always been true. The Egyptian hieroglyphic for a doctor is a duck; an evident allusion to his "quack." But, for all this, expert medical treatment is, according to the consensus of the competent, the most efficient remedy for disease.

The question now is: Shall these Christian Scientists be allowed to practice their religion, plainly supported by the New Testament in healing (?) the sick by faith and prayer, or shall the law take the matter in hand, and in prohibiting their practice, officially renounce a scriptural teaching that is as plain as any in the Bible.

## THE BLADE

AND THE NEW YORK WORLD,

For \$1.65 a Year. The World Coming Every Other Day, Except Sunday, and the Blade for Sabbath Reading,

The Blade the Greatest Religious Paper in the World, and the World the Greatest Secular Paper in the World.

The BLUE GRASS BLADE, the greatest Religious Journal in the world, and the New York World, the greatest Secular Journal in the world have gone into a combine and will pool their earnings.

The World will come three times a week, that is every other day except Sunday, when the BLADE, punctually each Sabbath morn, laden to the guards with the latest and freshest from the religious world, will appear and fill what would otherwise be an "aching void" in the hearts of the piously inclined.

It would be a reflection upon the general intelligence of the world at large, and of America especially, to descend upon the merits of these two great journals. Not to know them is to proclaim yourself unknown.

With the BLADE no other religious reading is necessary; not even the Bible, prayer book or hymn book. Having the BLADE it is not necessary to pay any pew rent, or to entertain preachers, or to chip into the missionary box, and your breeches are not bagged at the knees by kneeling in churches.

Having the New York World three times a week, you are "thoroughly furnished into every good work" (II. Tim. 3: 17) in matters secular, and nothing else in secular journalism, is necessary for cultivated ladies and gentlemen.

If you are taking the BLADE send me \$1.65 and your BLADE will be credited up a year, and the World will begin at once to come to you three times a week. If you are not taking the BLADE, send me \$1.65 and the two papers will at once start to you.

This is the greatest offer for religious and political literature you ever saw.

Address all communications to BLUE GRASS BLADE, Lexington Ky.

## THE RATIONAL VIEW

BY

CHARLES C. MOORE.

A book of 373 pages [5 X 7] neatly bound and plainly printed

Price 50 cents: Postage Prepaid.

It is a modern Rationalistic view of Theology. Address: C. C. MOORE, Lexington, Ky.